

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,892	04/20/2004	Michael T. Barrett	10031033-1	7766	
22878 7590 08/02/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.			EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537		SALMON, KATHERINE D			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
	. **-		•	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/828,892		BARRETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine Salmon	1634	

·	Katherine Salmon	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112/New Matter for Clain	ns 1-9 and 26-29.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			ent canceling the					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 26-29.								
Claim(s) rejected. 1-9 and 20-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-25, 30-33.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet.		/ / /						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
JEANINE A. GOLDBERG Katherine Salmon PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1634								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11 does NOT palce the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments made of record in the reply do not place the application in condition for allowance. The reply asserts that Bao et al. does not teach a chromosome structural region oligonucleotide feature (p. 9 1st paragraph). The reply asserts that Bao et al. does not disclose an array containing oligonucleotides that specifically bind to a structural region of a single chromosome and do not specifically bind to structural regions on other chromosomes (p. 9 2nd paragraph). These arguments have been fully considered but have not been found persuasive. As discussed in the final rejection (mail date 5/11/2007 pages 4-5) Bao et al. teaches an array comprising DNA sequences from all human telomeres and all human centromeres (p. 10 paragraph 134). Therefore Bao et al. teaches chromosome structural region oligonucleotide features (e.g. DNA comprised of human telomeres and human centromeres). Bao et al. teaches that these telomeres and centromeres have unique sequence regions immediately adjacent to repeat sequence regions (p. 10 paragraph 134), therefore these sequences would specifically bind to only one chromosome (e.g. the array is comprised of chromosome structural region oligonucleotide features that specifically bind to only one chromosome). Therefore Bao et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims